Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,015	MBACHU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica A. Fontaine	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Monica A. Fontaine</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mary Breiner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 March 2005	Time: <u>~3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 4, 5, 6		
Prior art documents discussed: Parigi		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a way rate record of the substance of 	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/797,015

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Ms. Breiner to discuss amendments to the claims in order to place them in condition for allowance. Ms. Breiner faxed the examiner materials to support the clients' position that claim 1 is allowable without any further amendments. The examiner agreed to consider the new documents (cited on PTO-892 mailed herewith), and she will make a decision regarding the patentability of the claims in lieu of Ms. Breiner's fax and arguments.